Minutes of meeting of Senate held on Wednesday, January 20, 2010 at 2:30 p.m. in the Robert Vogel Council Room (Room 232, Leacock Building.)

**PRESENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aitken, Ellen</th>
<th>Harpp, David</th>
<th>Ngadi, Michael</th>
</tr>
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<td>Potter, Judith</td>
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<td>Blackett, Adelle</td>
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<td>Richard, Marc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boss, Valentin</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Johnson, Juliet</td>
<td>Roy, François</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Jordan, Steven</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caplan, Eric</td>
<td>Jutras, Daniel</td>
<td>Schmidt, Janine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciobanu, George</td>
<td>Kirby, Torrance</td>
<td>Shaughnessy, Honora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobbett, Stuart</td>
<td>Kreiswirth, Martin</td>
<td>Sieber, Renee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covo, David</td>
<td>Kurien, John</td>
<td>Simeone, Daniel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuello, Claudio</td>
<td>Levin, Richard</td>
<td>Snider, Laurie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dooley, Rebecca</td>
<td>Ling, Andrew</td>
<td>Todd, Peter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drouillard, Jérémie</td>
<td>Low, Bronwen</td>
<td>Van Eyk, Helen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Shafie, Dahlia</td>
<td>Lowther, David</td>
<td>Wade, Kevin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etemad, Hamid</td>
<td>Madramootoo, Chandra</td>
<td>Wapnick, Joel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everett, Jane</td>
<td>Manfredi, Christopher</td>
<td>Weinstein, Marc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ezzy-Jorgensen, Fran</td>
<td>Marshall, David</td>
<td>White, Lydia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flanders, Kappy</td>
<td>Masi, Anthony</td>
<td>Woolf, Sarah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fried, Gerald</td>
<td>Mehta, Mitran</td>
<td>Zhang, Ji</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonnerman, Laura</td>
<td>Mendelson, Morton</td>
<td>Zorychta, Edith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GowriSankaran, Kohur</td>
<td>Moore, Timothy</td>
<td>Strople, Stephen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant, Martin</td>
<td>Munroe-Blum, Heather</td>
<td>(Secretary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grüttner, Peter</td>
<td>Neilson, Blum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REGRETS:** Gillian Bartlett-Esquiland, Dorothy Bray, James Brophy, Renzo Cecere, Roshi Chadha, Alexander DeGuise, Catherine Desbarats, Elaine Doucette, Brian Driscoll, Jan Ericsson, Doaa Farid, Keith Franklin, Engelbert Gayagoy, Faizel Gulamhussein, Alexandros Halavrezos, Alexandra Kindlat, Paul Lasko, Richard Leask, Manosij Majumdar, James G. Martin, Don McLean, Andrew Piper, Arnold Steinberg, Denis Thérien, Christina Wolfson.
SECTION I

1. Resolution on the death of Mr. Martin Cohen

The following resolution on the death of Mr. Martin Cohen was delivered by Ms. Janine Schmidt, Trenholme Director of Libraries.

Martin Cohen died on October 13th, 2009 in Toronto at the Providence Health Centre. Martin began work at the McGill University Library on 1st September, 1990, as Assistant Technical Services Librarian, coming from Concordia University Library where he had worked in various areas with his most recent position at Concordia as Collections Management Librarian. At McGill, he was initially responsible for the day-to-day administration of the Bibliographic Searching Unit and the Checking and Receiving and the Accounting Sections of the Acquisitions Department. Martin was promoted to the rank of full Librarian in June 1991. He made significant contributions to the selection of materials for the Humanities and Social Sciences Library until ill health forced his departure from the University on long term disability in 2005. Nursing staff commented on his courage in dealing with his illness in the final stages of his life.

Martin was born in Montreal in 1947 and graduated from McGill University with a B.A. (Hons) in English in May 1968 and a Master of Library Science in May 1975. He gained a Ph.D. from the University of Exeter in 1972 with a thesis entitled: *The deluded hero in the novels of Evelyn Waugh: a study of his functions.* He published widely in English literature and on library collection development and expenditure. A conference paper he presented in 1976 entitled *If it doesn’t move, throw it away* would probably be changed today as all reflect some thirty years later on the disposition of little-used print heritage collections with the move to electronic resources. Print collections and their use continue to be under scrutiny. Throw them away? Maybe not!

Martin was exceptionally talented with languages and spoke English, French, German, Spanish and Italian and also read Catalan, Dutch, Portuguese, Galician, Romansh, Swedish and Romanian. His friends noted that during his illness he continued to maintain an extensive email correspondence marked by humour, caring and erudition. Martin was also a knowledgeable classical music enthusiast and maintained an extensive record collection. He also had a great love of gourmet food and enjoyed cooking for friends as well as dining out.

Service on committees within the University included the Curriculum Committee and the Ad Hoc Committee on Re-Accreditation of the Graduate School of Library and Information Studies. Martin also taught a unit entitled Information Users and Services within the Graduate School and he is remembered fondly by many of his students. Martin’s professional involvements include editing *Argus* for the Corporation des Bibliothécaires professionnels du Quebec and membership of several Groupes de travail within the CREPUQ sous comité des bibliothèques. We extend our condolences to Martin’s friends and family.
2. Resolution on the death of Mrs. Marilyn Cohen

The following resolution on the death of Mrs. Marilyn Cohen was delivered by Ms. Janine Schmidt, Trenholme Director of Libraries.

Marilyn Cohen passed away on Monday October 12th, 2009 in the Jewish General Hospital. Marilyn began work initially within the McGill Library on July 18th, 1977 as a Reference Librarian in the Undergraduate Library, having completed a B.A. from Sir George Williams University in 1971, a Diploma in Library Science from Loyola College in 1973, and an M.L.S. from McGill University in 1977. One of her early supervisors commented “although modest by nature, she relates very well to everybody and displays sincerity and consideration for others. In all respects, Marilyn Cohen is a competent and excellent librarian who projects the image of a solid and sincere professional person”. She continued to exemplify this early assessment throughout her professional career at McGill – competent, sincere, modest and considerate of others.

Marilyn was promoted to Associate Librarian as of December 1st, 1983 and was appointed as Acting Head of the Undergraduate Library in October 1986 and Undergraduate Services Librarian in October, 1987. Marilyn’s early work in the library focused on orientation and library instruction and she was known as an excellent teacher. She participated in various library committees, assisted in the implementation of automation and was responsible for the selection of English and American literature materials for the Library. In June 1990, Marilyn took up the position of Head, Education Library, one of the thirteen libraries within the McGill library system, a position which she held until her death, following an extended period of sick leave from the University.

It was in the Education Library that Marilyn worked particularly closely with faculty and became highly respected by them. Marilyn was a member of various committees within the Faculty of Education, including the Academic Policy Committee and the Committee on Research and Graduate Studies. She exerted herself with faculty in understanding their needs and in developing the collection in the broad range of Education subjects at undergraduate and graduate levels, as well as curriculum resources, to ensure that the Library held the collections required to support teaching, learning and research within the Faculty. Marilyn was highly regarded as a colleague by staff within the Faculty of Education who acknowledged her integrity and the contribution she made to their work, assisting in improving both their teaching and research contributions. Marilyn’s colleagues consistently affirmed that she was consistently knowledgeable, responsive, thoroughly professional, helpful, cooperative and courteous. She was always focused on enhancing the collections and services of the Education Library and Curriculum Resources Centre.

Marilyn also worked closely with the students and was a leading force in gaining funds from the undergraduate education student fund which assisted in many library activities, including the recent extremely successful refurbishment of the Education Library and Curriculum Resources Centre. During the refurbishment process, Marilyn ensured that targets for collection movement were met so that the project could be completed on time.
Marilyn was selfless, devoted to her family and her faith. She developed high standards and lived according to them. She was generous, compassionate, caring and kind and is remembered by her colleagues with great affection and warmth. Marilyn is mourned by us all, and by her family, her husband Arnold, her mother Lottie, her son Yisrael and daughter-in-law Avigail and her grand-daughter Shira Chana, as well as by her sister Lorraine Mehi. Marilyn was buried in Jerusalem.

3. **Report of the Steering Committee**

The report of the Steering Committee (09-10:6) was received.

*Item 1. Approval of Minutes of Senate.* On motion duly proposed and seconded, the minutes of the December 2, 2009 meeting were approved.

*Item 2. Confidential Minutes of Senate.* On a motion duly proposed and seconded, the Confidential Minutes of the December 2, 2009 Senate meeting were approved.


4. **Adoption of the Agenda**

The Chair asked Senate to approve an addendum to item IIB2.2, the Report of the Senate Nominating Committee, changing that report from information to an approval item.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the agenda was approved with the addition of the addendum to item IIB2.2.

5. **Chair’s Remarks**

The chair spoke about the Government of Quebec’s upcoming mission to India, which was created to bring academics, industry, government and not for profits together to advance relationships between Quebec and India. The mission presents McGill with an opportunity to build on its international research collaborations, which have been long-standing with India. Indeed, the mission is an expression of the University’s integrated approach to international activities. McGill’s delegation includes Professors Jeffrey Berghorson, Gail Chmura, Fabrice Labeau, Chris Ragan and Vice-Principal Denis Therien. The Chair said that she would attend as both a representative of McGill and the President of CREPUQ.

The delegation will build on the activities of the previous Quebec mission to India, which took place three years ago. The mission is an opportunity to demonstrate in the broader Quebec context the ways in which McGill can bring opportunities to Quebec at the international level. The mission will also provide an opportunity to build on the sustainability themes that were
discussed at the December Copenhagen Summit. The Chair said that she would be participating in a panel organized by the Energy and Resources Institute as part of the Annual Delhi Sustainable Development Summit. The theme of the Summit will be *New Pathways to Sustainable Development* and the panel in which the Chair is to participate is called “Mobilizing Knowledge and Knowledge Institutions in Support of the Sustainability Agenda.” The Summit is a first step follow-up to the Copenhagen meeting and a number of the presenters on the panel participated at Copenhagen.

The Chair spoke about the Copenhagen Summit. She said that, although the meeting received mixed press locally, the commitment by the international community to developing models of global governance to address climate change was very moving. Delegates showed patience and openness to varying points of view regarding the issues. The Chair noted that among the range of governance matters addressed were global economic and power issues, and also local considerations such as aboriginal rights, and the role of non-governmental and not for profit organizations. However, a disappointing aspect of the Summit was the lack of meaningful engagement on real climate management issues. These included the very few variables open to negotiation, the absence of the role of consumers, and the inability of Canada to succeed under the current terms of reference. Countries such as Canada, with large geographic areas, relatively small populations, and resource economies cannot achieve meaningful progress under per capita measures of emission control. In contradistinction to the perceptions of the press, Canada has made great progress, becoming a leader in areas of reforestation, clean electricity, the phasing out of old dirty technologies and the implementation of new technologies. The Chair said that Universities are essential in the area of technology innovation, and McGill is well positioned to play a powerful role in that regard.

The Chair moved to speak about the earthquake in Haiti. She spoke solemnly about the 60,000 dead and the possibility that the death toll could reach 200,000. The Chair acknowledged the loss of McGill Alumnus Guillaume Siemienski, a Senior Political Affairs Officer on contract in Haiti with the United Nations Stabilisation Mission. She expressed concern for all Montrealers and Canadians who have family and loved ones in Haiti. Upon learning of the catastrophe, McGill immediately took steps to identify any students or staff that may have been, or intended to be in, Haiti. Happily, the University has located all people that we have been made aware of and confirmed that they are safe. McGill observed a moment of silence on Tuesday afternoon at 4:53 p.m., marking one week after the earthquake.

The Chair moved to speak about the Canada Excellence Research Chairs (CERC) program. The CERC program was developed to establish Canada as a location of choice for world-leading researchers and as a centre for, science and technology development, which in turn will contribute to the well-being of all Canadians. The program is intended to position Canadian Universities to attract and retain world leaders in scientific research, who will then attract their peers, as well as outstanding postdoctoral students and graduate students. Although the program has been criticized for supporting a narrow disciplinary band, it will support the domains of environmental sciences and technologies, natural resources and energy, health and related life sciences technologies, and information and communication technologies. The research chairs are
tenable for seven years and are not renewable. For each chair, a university will receive up to $10 million a year in federal funding.

The Chair said that McGill has participated in the two stage process, securing four opportunities to compete in phase two. The four proposals build on existing strengths of the University in the areas of neuroscience, green chemistry, and broadband communications. Of the 36 proposals for research chairs across Canada, nine come from Quebec. McGill was instrumental in getting the Quebec government to match the funds from the Federal government in infrastructure funding for successful CERCs. The process involved presenting a case to a panel of three international experts, who then recommends the top 20 to the full CERC committee. The Chair thanked the principal investigators associated with the McGill proposals, Fernando Cervero, Remi Quirion, David Plant, and Bruce Lennox, all of whom were supported by their deans and the Vice-Principal (Research and International Relations) Denis Therien. The results of the competition will be known on February 15th.

The Chair offered kudos to Professor Ichiro Fujinaga of the Schulich School of Music and Professor Michael Wagner of the Department of Linguistics for winning two separate competitions under the new international competition called The Digging into Data Challenge. The competition is a partnership between the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC), the National Endowment for the Humanities, the National Science Foundation, and the Joint Information Systems Committee of the United Kingdom. The Chair mentioned that Quebec Science Magazine selected three discoveries by McGill researchers among their top ten discoveries of 2009. The Chair closed by mentioning the McGill Martlets Women’s hockey team, which has extended its Canadian Interuniversity Sport record winning streak to 73 straight wins.

SECTION II

PART A – Questions and Motions by Members

1. **Question Regarding Snow Removal Procedures and Information**

On invitation of the Chair, Senator Marshall asked the question regarding snow removal:

1. Given the importance of snow removal to the safety of members of the McGill community and to the navigability of our campus, will the University commit to augmenting the resources available for campus snow removal?

2. What steps will the University take to ensure information regarding snow conditions, removal and related hazards is widely available and easily accessible to members of the McGill community?

The Chair invited the Vice-Principal (Administration and Finance) to answer. The Vice-Principal (Administration and Finance) to answered as follows:
Thank you Senator Marshall for the question.

The safety of our community members is the University’s number one priority. Although our resources are not abundant, between our in-house people and our private contractors we feel that we have the resources in place that are needed to adequately respond to snowfall and that our performance now is better than ever. Our crews are on-call 24 hours per day and they respond quickly when needed. Their priority is building accessibility and egress so that disruption to University activity is minimal and occupant safety is assured. In some cases, this means making a quick pass on our 400 stairways and entrances. After clearing priority areas they return to clear accumulated snow from other areas. In case you have concerns about specific areas of accumulated snow or ice, please call the facilities call centre. Contact information is available on the front page of the University Services website. The Facilities call centre is where everybody should immediately turn to report any needed maintenance on our campus grounds or buildings. If there is an unsafe condition that requires immediate attention, please call Security Services at 3000.

In light of the concerns expressed in the question, we have reviewed the University Services website to ensure that the appropriate contact information can be found quickly and easily.

2. **Question Regarding MacDonald Campus Shuttle**

On invitation of the Chair, Senator Marshall asked the question regarding the MacDonald Campus shuttle.

1. What changes does the University intend to take to ensure that the Macdonald shuttle service is, as advertised to prospective and current students, a “free service [that] makes it easy for students to take courses both at Macdonald and downtown?”

2. What steps will the University take to ensure adequate facilities for students who must wait for shuttles, particularly in light of the increased health risks associated with waiting outside due to the current H1N1 pandemic?

The Chair invited the Deputy Provost to answer. The Deputy Provost answered as follows:

I thank Senator Marshall for raising this issue in Senate.

Although I don’t think the reference to the H1N1 pandemic is relevant to the issue at hand, I would like to take this opportunity to point out to Senate that the University was recently informed by MELS that pandemic-related activities, including the monitoring of absenteeism due to flu-like symptoms, can now be discontinued. Therefore, after due consideration, we are returning to normal operating procedures for courses and for reporting of absences for staff and students. Nonetheless, in light of the possibility of a third wave of the pandemic, members of the McGill community are advised to continue to take health precautions, such as regular hand-
washing, proper sneezing/coughing etiquette, and staying at home when ill. Also, please note that vaccinations for the seasonal flu are now available to students through Student Health Services and by appointment at Medisys for staff, and H1N1 vaccinations continue to be available through local CLSC clinics.

Regarding the Macdonald shuttle bus, there are no immediate plans to expand the service, but two alternative courses of action might address the situation:

The first concerns a fuller study of who uses the service, which is meant for students who are taking courses on both campuses. As Senator Marshall noted in his preamble, fully 22% of students using the shuttle are doing so for other purposes. Therefore, it may be necessary to control who accesses the service, to ensure that students who need it for its intended purpose do not face the problems described.

The second course of action would be to expand the service, for example by adding an additional bus, but that would have to be paid for by a user fee and/or through an additional ancillary fee agreed to by students.

Dean Madramootoo and I are willing to cooperate with student groups on both campuses to explore ways to ensure that an appropriate shuttle service is available for the intended purpose.

Senator Marshall said that although H1N1 is relevant it was not the focus of the question. He went on to say that the sticker system, which controls who gets on the bus is well adhered to by the bus drivers, but that the administration of the sticker program is loose. The Deputy Provost answered that this type of problem needs to be examined.

3. Question regarding the mandate of the Athletics Board

The Chair invited Senator Nielson to ask the following:

1. When will the first meeting of the Athletics Board and the Finance Subcommittee take place?

2. How is the mandate of the Athletics Board different from the Committee on Student Services?

3. Does the administration plan to review the oversight role of this Committee?

The Chair invited the Deputy Provost to answer. The Deputy Provost answered as follows:

I thank Senator Nielsen for raising this issue in Senate.

1. Regarding the first question, efforts are underway to appoint a new Chair of the Athletic Board and call a meeting, with the intention of revamping the Board. Regardless, the Director of Athletics, with the collaboration of SSMU and PGSS, is striking a group that will serve the
functions of the Finance Subcommittee to review the Athletics and Recreation Budget for next year.

2. Regarding the second question, the Committee on Student Service (CSS) is a Senate Committee that advises the Executive Director of Services for Students on a number of matters relevant to Student Services. The Athletics Board is, anachronistically, a committee of neither Senate nor the Board. It serves some of the same purposes as the CSS – e.g., providing a forum for the review of the budget – but also has other powers and responsibilities that are at odds with governance and administration conventions at McGill.

It should be noted that the new government regulations regarding increases to ancillary fees have expanded the influence that the student associations have on the budget process for Athletics and Recreation and have diminished the direct influence of the Athletics Board. Working within the new framework, the Executive Director of Athletics has broadened and deepened consultations with students to ensure that they have the best possible understanding of the Athletics and Recreation budget.

3. Regarding the last question, the role of the Athletic Board has been reviewed and our goal is to revamp it so that it can serve the important function of providing a forum for accountability for the administration of Athletics and Recreation, as well as a forum for the discussion of the budget.

Senator Ciobanu said that it is hard for students to get access to information in regard to athletics budgets. Furthermore, the budgets are hard to understand for external people. He said that the budgets should be posted online to provide transparency, which would in turn improve management. Furthermore, the forced membership for students in the athletics facilities does not encourage good management because students, the largest group of users, cannot revoke their membership. These elements do not lead to good feedback for students. The Deputy Provost answered that the tradition has been to provide the budget to students through the Athletics Board and the University is trying to follow the same process, even though there has been a delay in appointing the new Chair. Moreover, both the Students’ Society of McGill University and the Post-Graduate Student Society have access to the budget. The full budget is not distributed to the larger community because it would be possible to extract nominative information from the full budget, but in terms of broad categories the budget has been made available. He noted that if there was a delay in receiving the budget it may be because it was only recently made available. In terms of feedback, the Deputy Provost said that it is important that issues around the Athletics Board should be addressed in order to provide students with a forum for their concerns.
PART B – Motions and Reports from Organs of the University Government

1. Motions

1.1 Motion to Amend the Standing Rules of Procedure, Governing the Proceedings of the Senate of McGill University (D09-32)

On invitation of the Chair, the Secretary-General presented the Motion to Amend the Standing Rules of Procedure, Governing the Proceedings of the Senate of McGill University for approval.

The Secretary-General reminded Senate that this amendment is intended to set the preconditions for distributing and using senate documents electronically. The document is informed by two guiding principles—that Senate discussion should be open and free and that appropriate decorum should be observed in order to facilitate full engagement and participation in the substantive matters before Senate. He then reviewed the revisions to the motion as presented at the Senate meeting of December 2, 2009.

Senator Wolff expressed concern that information about Senate would not be disseminated to the broader University community. On a related matter, she said that although the minutes of meetings are generally accurate, the loss of other forms of recording Senate should move the Steering Committee to consider providing verbatim minutes of Senate.

Senator Ciobanu asked that Senate not forbid the recording of its proceedings, but instead publish recordings of Senate. This is neither a radical nor original idea since many Senates around the world make recordings of their deliberations available to the public. He questioned how the recording of Senate could hamper open and free discussion because people are already held accountable for what they say at Senate. Recording only provides an extra degree of accountability, which can only been viewed as positive. This same principle applies to live blogging and recording, which only allows the public to know what is happening at Senate, a forum that is available to the public while in open session.

Senator White asked if the Secretary-General normally records Senate meetings.

Senator Grant expressed support for the amendment in order to facilitate a positive atmosphere and encourage people to contribute to the conversation.

Senator Mehta asked how someone gets express permission of Senate to record Senate meetings.

Senator Robaire objected to the idea of verbatim minutes due to the fact that the minutes are already quite detailed and lengthening them would make them prohibitive to review. Furthermore, the minutes already represent an accurate reflection of what transpires at Senate.

The Secretary-General answered that the amendment was intended to expand an existing regulation and adapt it to the use of laptops at Senate. In response to Senator White, he said that there was presumed permission by Senate to allow the Secretariat to record Senate for the
purpose of taking minutes. However, if Senate felt it necessary to alter the amendment more to cover this practice, he would be open to doing so.

On a motion duly proposed and seconded, the amendment to the Standing Rules of Procedure, Governing the Proceedings of the Senate of McGill University was approved.

2. Committee Reports

2.1 416th Report of the Academic Policy Committee (D09-33)

On invitation of the Chair, the Provost presented the Report of the Academic Policy Committee.

The Provost presented the report.

2.2 Report of the Senate Nominating Committee (D09-34)

On invitation of the Chair, the Provost presented the Report of the Senate Nominating Committee.

The Provost noted an error in the information item regarding the Committee on Libraries. The header should make reference to the Schulich Library, not the Schulich School of Music.

Report of the Senate Nominating Committee (D09-39)

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Statutory Selection Committee for a Professor in the Department of Social Studies of Medicine was approved.

3. Annual Reports

3.1 Deferred Maintenance Report (D09-36)

On invitation of the Chair the Vice Principal (Administration and Finance) introduced the Deferred Maintenance Report. The Vice Principal (Administration and Finance) introduced the report as follows:

Merci Madame Chair. La présentation qui suit est en réponse à une question soulevée à l’automne, lors de la présentation du Master Plan, le Plan d’ensemble de McGill. Il s’agissait alors de savoir comment les dépenses en entretien s’inscrivaient dans les orientations du Master Plan. Donc ce n’est pas tel qu’indiqué en rapport annuel mais plutôt une réponse à une question soulevée à l’automne.

The Associate Vice Principal (University Services) presented the report.

Senator Sieber asked if there is a micro-scale list of when certain classrooms are going to be renovated. Furthermore, she asked if there were any plans to use Deferred Maintenance Report to
provide additional pedagogical tools. The Associate Vice Principal (University Services) answered that there is a Teaching and Learning Work Group dedicated to classrooms. The committee deals with information technology infrastructure as well as other infrastructure, which are funded from the capital fund and the deferred maintenance fund. There is an ongoing list of approved priorities that reflects the needs and input from all faculties across the university. That list is available to the faculties. In terms of pedagogy, students have been involved in many projects, but there are issues surrounding liability and timing of projects around semester classes.

Senator Janda asked if new buildings would have maintenance costs built into the initial grant. Furthermore he asked how the Sustainability Policy flows through the deferred maintenance plan.

The Associate Vice Principal (University Services) responded that the new terms of reference for the Building and Property Committee made it clear that a source of money for long-term maintenance is supposed to be identified as part of the funding of new construction. In terms of the University’s sustainability, all renovations are conducted with flexibility and durability in mind so that buildings can be repurposed going forward without being viewed as disposable.

The Vice Principal (Administration and Finance) said that the general rule for deferred maintenance was that you need to allocate one to one-and-a-half percent of the value of your real estate each year to maintain it. With the value of the real estate held by McGill being over a billion dollars, the financial resources provided by the Quebec government is insufficient to meet this need.

Senator Butler asked if any of the money raised through bond issue of $100 million, which enabled the University to purchase the hotel on Park Avenue, was available for deferred maintenance.

The Vice Principal (Administration and Finance) said that the bond issue mentioned was originally $250 million of which there is only $6 million left. The bond issues carries the stipulation that it be used only for revenue generating projects due to the need to repay the bond with interest.

Senator Harpp congratulated Professor Nicell on his good work.

Senator Ling asked if there was an effort to minimize disruptions to students and staff.

The Associate Vice Principal (University Services) replied that this is a very challenging issue to address because the University still has to function while conducting some 600 projects each year across the campus. A communications project is being developed so that people can be forewarned of any disruptions.
3.2 Annual Report of the Committee on Physical Development (D09-35)

On invitation of the Chair, The Associate Vice Principal (University Services) presented the report.

Professor Wade asked if the issue of the MacDonald Campus overpass could be spoken about.

The Associate Vice Principal (University Services) replied that the University has received confirmation that the overpass is owned by the Province and that it is ultimately responsible for its replacement. The University is in consultations to try to relocate the overpass to a more advantageous location.

3.3 Annual Report on the Policy on Safe Disclosure (D09-27)

On invitation of the Chair, the Provost presented the report.

The Provost presented the report and explained the amendment to the Policy’s reporting structure, which was before Senate for approval. The Provost stated that the Secretary-General should present the report to Senate because the process is administered by the Secretariat, and the Provost may be involved in the substantive issues identified in the “disclosure.”

Professor Grüttner asked what were safe disclosures.

The Provost answered that the Policy on Safe Disclosure, commonly known as a whistle-blower policy, was an opportunity for staff to report concerns about perceived inappropriate conduct without repercussions.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, amendment to the Policy on Safe Disclosure was approved.

3.4 Annual Report of the Committee on Student Discipline (D09-38)

On invitation of the Chair, the Dean of Students presented the report.

Senator Nielson asked if there were any reasons why the rate of exoneration for cheating in Article 16 was so high.

The Dean of Students replied that she did not have the specific background, but said that the rate varies from year to year.

Senator Harpp asked if the rate was due to a lack of evidence or the over-exuberance on the part of the accusers.

The Dean of Students indicated there would require further analysis.
4. Adjournment

There being no other business to deal with at the meeting, on motion duly proposed and seconded, the meeting was adjourned at 4 p.m.