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SECTION I

1. Resolution on the death of Professor Emerita Edith Della Pergola

The following resolution on the death of Professor Emerita Edith Della Pergola was presented by Dean Don McLean and adopted unanimously by Senate.

It is with a mixture of profound personal sadness and deep institutional gratitude that I officially inform Senate of the passing of Edith Della Pergola, Professor Emerita and Founder of Opera McGill, on Thursday, July 2, 2009. The campus flag was lowered to half-mast in her honour this past July 6.

Edith Della Pergola (née Leb) was born in Cluj, Romania, June 12, 1915. She studied voice at the Royal Academy of Music in Bucharest with Alberto Della Pergola, eventually marrying his son, tenor and teacher Luciano Della Pergola, in 1935. She performed initially in Florence as Mimi in La Bohème, then as lead soprano with the Bucharest Opera for 11 years in numerous roles. She received the Order of Cultural Merit from King Michael of Romania in 1946. In 1947, she was engaged by the Wiener Staatsoper, performing the title roles in Aida and Madame Butterfly, and as Amelia in Un Ballo in Maschera. She went on to sing in Zurich, Antwerp, Brussels, Frankfurt, Munich, and Naples (where she participated in the Italian premiere of Schoenberg’s Von Heute auf Morgen in 1950). The Della Pergolas moved to Montreal and McGill in 1955 to establish the McGill Opera Studio, eventually transforming it into Opera McGill, a trajectory elegantly and entertainingly summarized in the volume Opera at McGill: The Della Pergola Years 1956-1989. Over these three and half decades, the Della Pergolas mounted a formidable list of some 48 productions in over 320 performances, with the training and participation of more than 300 student singers. Edith and Luciano were named Professors Emeriti of McGill University in 1989, Luciano passing away in 1991. Edith was named a member of the Order of Canada in 1994, and inducted into the Canadian Opera Hall of Fame at the Opéra de Montréal Gala at Place des Arts in December of 2002. A legend in Montreal and a treasured colleague at McGill, La Signora, as Edith was known to so many of her friends and admirers, is survived by her daughter Felicity and son-in-law Howard Blatt, her two grandchildren and three great grandchildren, and is warmly remembered by her many former students, colleagues, and friends at Opera McGill. A memorial concert will be held in honour of the Della Pergolas on Saturday, May 8, 2010 and will involve musical tributes from several colleagues and former students of national and international prominence. Contributions to the Della Pergola Scholarship Fund continue to be received in her memory and in appreciation of the marvellous legacy that Luciano and Edith left to us as—Opera McGill.

3. Report of the Steering Committee

The report of the Steering Committee (09-10:2) was received.

Item 1. Approval of Minutes of Senate.

Senator Wade asked that the minutes be altered on page fifteen to reflect that he did not suggest that the Campaign McGill goals were too high, but rather that particular attention should be paid to the public perception of such a goal. The Chair noted that the change would be made.
On motion duly proposed and seconded, the minutes of the meeting of September 16, 2009 were approved.

Item 2. Confidential Session. On motion duly proposed and seconded, Senate agreed to move into Confidential Session for consideration of item IIB1 (Confidential Report of the Honorary Degrees and Convocations Committee, D09-04).

Item 3a. Update on the Joint Board-Senate Meeting. The Chair invited the Provost to update Senate on the Joint Board Senate meeting on 26 October 2009. The Provost updated Senate on the upcoming Joint Board-Senate meeting and reiterated the theme, “Are We Who We Think We Are?”

Item 3b. Electronic distribution of Senate documents and use of Electronic devices at Senate. The Chair reported that the issue of electronic distribution of documents and use of electronic devices would be revisited at a later Senate meeting once technical requirements have been fully considered.

Speaking Rights. Speaking rights were considered at a supplemental meeting of the Steering Committee prior to Senate. The report (09-10:3) from that meeting recommended the following speaking rights be granted. On motion duly proposed and seconded, Senate granted speaking rights to Ms. Jana Luker for item IIB3.4 (Report of the Committee on Student Services)

4. Adoption of the Agenda

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the agenda was approved.

5. Chair’s Remarks

Opening her remarks, the Chair invited Dean McLean to speak about the McGill Centraide Campaign. Dean McLean reminded Senate about the 2009 Centraide campaign. Last year the campaign raised $316,000, and the goal this year is $320,000. More information will be sent out soon to solicit donations from the McGill community.

The Chair announced the arrival of Dr. Roderick McInnes, named Alva Chair in Human Genetics in the Faculty of Medicine, and newly appointed member of the Order of Canada, to McGill as the new Director of Research at the Jewish General Hospital.

The Chair then spoke about McGill’s Times Higher Education QS ranking nationally and internationally. In the latest QS international ranking of universities, McGill ranked eighteenth overall in the world. McGill was ranked the top Canadian university and the top North American public university. Of particular note, QS ranked McGill tenth in the world and first in Canada for Life Sciences and Biomedicine. In addition to these academic rankings, the Chair mentioned that McGill was once again rated one of the top 100 employers in Canada, being a top performer in sustainability.

Also of note was the granting of Nobel Prizes to two alumni. Dr. Willard Boyle, who shared a Nobel Prize in Physics and Dr. Jack Szostak, who shared a Nobel Prize in Medicine.
The Chair move on to upcoming events at McGill, mentioning the Leadership Summit that will culminate in an Honorary Doctorate being conferred on President Bill Clinton on Friday, the G13 Provosts’ meeting the next day, and the meeting of Association of American Universities Presidents the following week. Also of note was Homecoming, which is expected to bring many graduates back to campus, with the third annual Parents Weekend to be held at the same time, bringing parents of students from around the world to visit McGill.

The Chair then addressed the disruption of a speaking event on campus that had been scheduled on 6 October. She explained that students disrupted a speaking event, hosted by a Students’ Society of McGill University accredited organization, Choose Life. The event had to be cancelled and police were called to contain the disruption. Even though the situation was unfortunate due to the requirement of McGill having to resort to police help, the central issue of concern was the suppression of freedom of speech on campus. The Chair explained that academic freedom and freedom of speech are fundamental values for the university community. The test of the degree to which academic freedom and freedom of speech are valued is not an easy case; it is allowing discussion and debate of issues where there are disparate and conflicting views, some that may make people uncomfortable, and challenge strongly held views.

Turning to Government relations, the Chair said that Conférence des recteurs et des principaux des universités du Québec (CREPUQ) is awaiting government response to its presentation to the Commission regarding Bill 38, and acknowledged the presentations of other members of the University community, including MAUT and MUNASA. CREPUQ has met with the Groupe-Conseil charged with advising Clément Gignac, the Minister of Economic Development, Innovation and Export on the renewal of the Stratégie québécoise de la recherche et de l’innovation to. A newly formed CREPUQ Strategic Committee on Science, Innovation and Technology is developing a submission to the Group-Conseil for next month, emphasizing research funding and industry-university synergies. Also, CREPUQ is presenting to Pierre Marc Johnson’s group, which is charged with negotiating the Quebec elements of the Canada-EU free-trade agreement on the inclusion of science and technology in the free-trade agreement. At the federal level, McGill made a budget submission presentation to the Federal Finance Committee, recommending enhanced funding for research, new postdoctoral fellowships, and sustained funding for international, inter-sectoral collaborations.

The Chair spoke about MBA tuition fees. Under-funding of Québec universities has eroded McGill’s ability to provide a quality program. To demonstrate the point, the Chair pointed to the fact that no Quebec MBA program has been ranked in the top 100 by the Financial Times. Although a decision has been made to raise tuition fees, McGill remains committed to equity, including providing financial assistance to those in need.

The Chair recognized three McGill researchers, who were inducted into the Royal Society of Canada. These include, Professors Bruce Reed of the School of Computer Science, Vincent Giguère of the Rosalind and Morris Goodman Cancer Center, and Allan Sniderman of the McGill University Health center Division of Cardiology. The Chair also recognized Dean Madramootoo and his team at MacDonald Campus for a successful Food Security Conference, held from October 5th to 7th.

Senator Janda raised concerns about the academic impacts of the tuition increases. He expressed a belief that if the University were to move to a self-funded model the academic
impacts would be significant. He expressed a desire for Senate to revisit the issue of the academic impacts of self-funded programs.

The Chair responded that there was no intention to change McGill to a self-funded model.

Senator GowriSankaran expressed the need for equality between programs and that all programs have good reasons for privatizing.

Senator Gulamhussein expressed concerns about joint programs such as the MBA/LLB and the cost to those students as well as those who were not expected to generate high future incomes.

Dean Todd indicated that the changes would not be applied to students already in the program, but to those entering the program. He also explained that there would be $4,000 in student aid per student in the immediate term, which will be raised to $6,000. This aid will be applied to students who are entering lower paying jobs such as non-government organizations. He also responded that all academic changes to the program were approved by Senate and the Faculty of Management tried to consider all academic impacts.

The Chair responded that tuition fees are not the purview of Senate but are solely a matter under the authority the Board of Governors and Executive committee. Furthermore, the MBA program is not the first self-funded program at McGill or at other Canadian Universities.

Senator Janda replied that the Senate cannot shy away from questions of principle such as what falls into the domain of academic and what falls into the domain of funding. He expressed the belief that Senate should approve fundamental shifts in funding models and that perhaps the Committee on the Rights of Senate should deliberate.

Senator Dooley told Senate that the Choose Life group had been censured and their event banned because the event went against SSMU’s ethical guidelines.

The Chair responded that the McGill community should be guided by normative behaviour and that opinions should be expressed with the goal of hearing all points of view.

Senator Woolf said that the Deputy Provost’s town hall meeting did not resolve this issue and that students were alarmed that McGill was actively soliciting Choose Life to represent at a future date. It was her opinion that Choose Life’s presentation constituted hate speech and asked where the University should draw the line with regard to what is acceptable debate and what is not.

The Provost intervened to emphasise that that issues of freedom of speech are involved, and that preventing people from speaking undermined democracy and thus undermined academic freedom. Furthermore, freedom of speech includes views that are dissimilar from one’s own. He went on to say that the most important student movement of the 1960s was the Free Speech Movement at Berkeley, which allowed people to discuss issues around behaviour, including abortions, that was then illegal. He then reiterated that free speech was the basis of academic freedom, which is the foundation of academia.
SECTION II

PART A – Questions and Motions by Members

1. Question Regarding Course Outlines

On invitation of the Chair, Professor Darin Barney asked the following relating to course outlines:

Does the University intend to limit this directive to the period during which disruption due to H1N1 influenza outbreak is an active possibility, or does senior administration intend to direct professors to include this statement in their course outlines indefinitely?

If the latter, can senior administration enumerate the range of “circumstances beyond the University’s control,” that it would foresee qualifying as “extraordinary” and therefore justification for changes in course content and evaluation schemes?

The Chair invited the Deputy Provost to answer:

The Deputy Provost answered, “The short answer is that the statement is currently meant to be included on course outlines while the outbreak of an H1N1 pandemic is a possibility. However, there is also a longer answer, and I welcome the opportunity to clarify the background and reasoning behind the statement, which actually reflects past practice, even in cases where a similar statement has not been included on course outlines.

In preparing for a possible outbreak of H1N1 influenza, I assembled a small work group to consider the main academic issues stemming from anticipated absenteeism, which may include: students missing classes, labs and/or examinations; instructors not being present for these activities and/or grading; and TAs not available to deliver labs, conferences, grading, or updating WebCT. It was quickly understood that special accommodations might be necessary on both sides – by the University regarding students’ absences and by students regarding instructors’ absences.

In July, discussions were ongoing, but I recommended to deans, directors and chairs that all course outlines contain the statement referred to in Senator Barney’s question, to alert students to the possibility that course delivery might have to be changed.

At that time, I also advised that, to ensure we are adequately prepared, it was important for instructors to build flexibility into course outlines and laboratory offerings. I also provided some suggestions for consideration about how this might be done, for example through the use of myCourses, recording lectures, and such.

At the end of August, in another memo to deans, directors, and chairs, I reiterated the suggestion regarding course outlines and referred instructors to a web site – http://knowledgebase.mcgill.ca/it/teach-during-pandemic – that had been created by Teaching and Learning Services and Information Technology Services to provide a brief overview of available resources to faculty members who, to address issues of absenteeism in the case of a pandemic, may need to make alternate arrangements for lectures, activities and assignments. In the last six weeks, a number of other documents have been created and will be circulated soon. One, agreed to by the associate deans (Student Affairs) or their equivalents, concern
special accommodations that may be necessary regarding self-reported cases of H1N1 influenza; the document will be circulated to units through Student Affairs Offices. A second document, which concerns steps that instructors can take to provide flexibility in assessing student learning, will be sent to instructors and will be posted on the web. Finally, a third document, which concerns the steps that are required to cancel classes, courses, other university activities and to close down University facilities, has been circulated to deans for comments and will be made available more broadly soon.

Thus, the suggestion regarding the statement on course outlines was but one in a series of efforts to prepare for a possible outbreak of H1N1 influenza at McGill. The statement was meant to provide a warning to students that unusual steps may be needed. Just as students can rightfully assume that the University will accommodate them because of special circumstances that may occur during a pandemic, we assume students will understand that unusual steps may have to be taken to enable courses to be delivered.”

Senator Barney asked if the statement was to be restricted to the outbreak of the H1N1 pandemic.

The Deputy Provost answered that the statement is currently restricted to the H1N1 pandemic. However, it has been the practice of the University to provide accommodation to courses so that they can be delivered.

Senator Robaire asked if there could be a web based supplement to the course outline that students could access for these types of instructions.

The Deputy Provost noted the suggestion.

Senator Neilson stated that flexibility is important for both students and professors, but predictability is essential to students in both their courses and their course outlines.

2. **Question Regarding Aboriginal Representation in Medicine**

On invitation of the Chair, Senator Hanna Thomas asked the following relating to Aboriginal representation in Medicine:

1. Will the Faculty of Medicine renew its commitment to increase the enrolment of aboriginal students by a measure commensurate with the demographics, and in an effort to bridge the inequity in health access and outcomes that native communities experience in Quebec and nationwide?

2. Recognizing that better health outcomes depend on multiple actors from various health care professions, will McGill implement equity targets in enrolment in nursing, dentistry, occupational health and other health sciences?

3. Given that in the absence of proper, long term incentives to attract and retain aboriginal students, further quotas by itself might not create the desired outcomes, will the Faculty of Medicine recognize that its current incentive mechanisms fall short of addressing the barriers that prevent aboriginal students from applying for admissions, and successfully passing those criteria and standards set by our faculty? Will it seek to develop further incentives to recruit, retain and support aboriginal students?
The Chair invited Dean Levin to answer the question:

Dean Levin answered, “At the McGill Faculty of Medicine, we take seriously the 30-fold under-representation of aboriginal physicians with respect to population-share in Quebec. The Faculty is indeed committed to increasing the enrolment of aboriginal students in the professional medicine program. But in a general sense, the problem that you raise is a broad social one, one that can ultimately be resolved only through actions by a number of actors, including governments.

For the last five years, the Faculty has been involved in various outreach activities in First Nations and Inuit Communities in Quebec (even before dedicated places in medicine were created by the Faculties of Medicine and the Government of Quebec). These included attending various activities organized by our own medical students, our Admissions office, the First Peoples House of McGill, as well as provincial/national conferences organized by local and Canadian First Nations representatives. At the moment, McGill has one 2nd year medicine student enrolled who self-declared as belonging to a First Nation, representing 25% of the total population of aboriginal students in medicine in Quebec (of those students who self-declared). We have posted our Diversity Statement on our website to ensure we send a clear message to future applicants. We also created a section of our Admissions website for First Nations applicants.

Dentistry does not engage in targeted recruitment activities for under-represented minorities at the moment, but they are envisaging concrete action in the future and are now evaluating various options.

In Nursing, there are two students in the UG program and one in the masters program who are identified as belonging to First Nations or Inuit, but the Faculty is unsure how many are ‘self declared’ at admission. To date, Nursing has contributed to attracting and meeting the needs of aboriginal students in the following ways:

- Participation in McGill University Pow Wow event organized by First People’s House
- Participation in McGill University High Performance Camp organized by First People’s House
- Invited address at Survival High School, Kahnawake (Mohawk Reserve)
- Global Health Masters student placement in Rapid Lake, QC (Algonquin Reserve)
- BSc(N) and BNI U3 clinical placements at Kateri Memorial in Kahnawake (Mohawk Reserve) - aboriginal student with specific request to be on this reserve; other students were not aboriginal
- Writing letters of support for students to obtain Aboriginal bursaries (2 known recipients to date).

As I said earlier, we take seriously the under-representation of aboriginal physicians with respect to population-share in Quebec (there are five, we should have 150 to equal the same ratio as the general population). We recognize that the problem is at the level of students attaining credentials to apply, and “connecting with the possible”, so we have focused our recruitment activities on this aspect of the problem. Our participation in the high performance camp, tight links with First Peoples House, our involvement with two of the five indigenous physicians (who are on Faculty at McGill), places offered for MiniMed to high school students from Kahnawake, go along these lines.
We have made a formal request to the First Nations & Inuit Program Coordinator in hopes that the criteria of applicability could be broadened to qualified aboriginal students from outside Quebec (e.g., rest of Canada) as we have several applicants in this category that we would love to consider. We recently appointed a new Assistant Dean for Admissions, Dr. Saleem Razack, and he has a special interest in diversity and equity. He is currently looking into outreach measures for this community.

PART B – Motions and Reports from Organs of the University Government

1. Confidential Report of the Honorary Degrees and Convocations Committee (D09-04)

Senate moved into confidential session to discuss the Confidential Report of the Honorary Degrees and Convocations Committee (D09-04) (this minute is not published or circulated but is attached to the permanent minutes of Senate as Appendix “A”).

2. Committee Reports

Senate returned to open session.

2.1 414th Report of the Academic Policy Committee (D09-09)

On invitation of the Chair, the Provost spoke about the report. There were no motions for approval.

2.2 Report of the Senate Nominating Committee (D09-15)

The Chair invited the Secretary-General to explain the report. The Secretary-General explained that a new report had been created from the 13 October Nominating Committee meeting that includes all the information from the distributed D09-10 document. The new document, D09-15, was to become the report to be approved by Senate.

The Chair invited the Provost to present the report. The Provost agreed to present the report, but asked to recuse himself from reporting the section regarding the Advisory Committee for the Selection of a Provost. The Chair agreed and the report was presented.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Committee on Enrolment and Student Affairs membership was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Honorary Degrees and Convocations Committee membership was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Committee on Physical Development membership was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Committee on the Rights of Senate membership was approved.
On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Advisory Council on the Charter of Students’ Rights membership was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Committee on Student Discipline membership was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Committee on Student Grievances membership was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Appeal Committee for Student Discipline and Grievances membership was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the University Appeals Committee membership was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the University Tenure Committees for the McGill University Libraries was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Statutory Selection Committee for a Professor in the Department of Biology was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Statutory Selection Committee for a Professor in the Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology and the Department of Medicine was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Statutory Selection Committee for a Professor in the Department of Medicine was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Statutory Selection Committee for a Professor in the Department of Pediatrics was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Statutory Selection Committee for a Professor in the Departments of Surgery and Oncology was approved.

On motion duly proposed and seconded, the Statutory Selection Committee for a Professor in the Department of Integrated Studies in Education was approved.

The Provost advised Senate that in the future the Statutory Selection Committees would move to a slate system that would replace individual selection for tenure committees. This would alleviate the back-log of names that need to be brought forward.

The Provost recused himself and the Chair continued the report.

The Chair motioned that the composition of the Advisory Committee for the Selection of a Provost be approved.

Senator Robaire objected to the composition of the Advisory Committees for the Selection of a Provost and Vice-Principal (Research and International Relations) due to an over-representation of individuals holding senior administrative positions. He expressed the opinion that these positions should also be held by Professors in non-administrative positions.
The Chair responded that the names on the committees have been considered at two Nominating Committee meetings and that there was a paucity of names presented on both occasions. She also mentioned that Dr. Papadopoulos is not in the University administration.

Senator GowriSankaran agreed with the over-representation of names associated with administration.

Senator Janda said that other names were brought forward that established a different balance on these committees. He also stated that these names could be brought forward again quickly for the next Senate meeting by selecting the names listed as alternates.

The Chair advised Senate that the composition of both committees, as presented by the Nominating Committee be put to a vote of Senate. The vote was called, and the committee was approved.

The vote for the Advisory Committee for the Selection of a Vice-Principal (Research and International Relations) was called, and the committee was approved.

3. Annual Reports

3.1 Final Report of the Committee on Student Affairs (D09-11)

On invitation from the Chair, Dean Everett spoke presented the report.

Senator Johnson indicated that discussion regarding the practice of naming a second/associate examiner on final examinations was scheduled for the current Senate meeting.

Dean Everett replied that the issue was scheduled for this Senate meeting, but did not move forward.

There were no motions for approval.

3.2 Final Report of the University Admissions Committee (D09-12)

On invitation from the Chair, the Deputy Provost presented the report.

Senator Hendren asked why the document does not reflect that, in regards to admission requirements, the General Engineering Program should not be lower than the lowest other program, which is higher than the minimum. She asked where this information would be available.

The Deputy Provost answered that information was available in the Admissions Handbook.

The Chair asked the Deputy Provost to note this information.

There were no motions for approval.

3.3 Final Report of the University Committee on Scholarships and Student Aid (D09-13)
On invitation from the Chair, the Deputy Provost presented the report. There were no motions for approval.

3.4 Committee on Student Services (D09-14)

On invitation from the Chair, the Deputy Provost presented the report.

Senator Simeone indicated that graduate students had voted against Student Service Fee increases as well as all other fee increases except the Library Fund.

Senator Janda indicated interest in the fact that committees are formed when students are asked to pay directly for services. He expressed the opinion that similar processes should be available for the consideration of self-funded programs.

There were no motions for approval.

On a motion duly proposed and seconded, the meeting was adjourned at 4:25 p.m.